| | Case 3:04-cv-00462-LRH-RAM Docume | nt 60 Filed 04/03/09 Page 1 of 1 |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | S DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | , j | |
| 10 | , , | 3:04-cv-00462-LRH-RAM |
| 11 |) | |
| 12 | CRAIG FARWELL, et al., | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 13 | Respondents.) | |
| 1415 | | with prejudice this prose petition for a writ of |
| 16 | On August 3, 2007, the Court dismissed with prejudice this <i>pro se</i> petition for a writ of habeas corpus. (Docket #44). Judgment was entered on August 6, 2007. (Docket #45). By order | |
| 17 | filed January 17, 2008, this Court denied petitioner's motion for reconsideration. (Docket #47). | |
| 18 | Petitioner filed a notice of appeal. (Docket #52). On December 23, 2008, the Court of Appeals | |
| 19 | denied petitioner's application for a certificate of appealability and denied all pending motions. | |
| 20 | (Docket #56). On December 24, 2008, petitioner filed a motion to vacate judgment in this action. | |
| 21 | (Docket #57). Petitioner has failed to make an adequate showing under the Federal Rules that the | |
| 22 | judgment entered in this action should be vacated. | |
| 23 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion to vacate judgment (Docket #57 | |
| 24 | is DENIED. | 111-1 |
| 25 | Dated this 2 nd day of April, 2009. | Flanke |
| 26 | 5 | |
| 27 | 7 | LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 28 | 3 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |